UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/522,304	09/06/2005	Vilmos Fockter	9007-1009	6456	
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 09/10/2007 OMPSON	1	EXAMINER		
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202			TAPOLCAI, WILLIAM E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3744		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office A 41 O	10/522,304	FOCKTER, VILMOS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William E. Tapolcai	3744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiruly will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of the contraction of the co	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20051028,20050125	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 3744

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 6, 7, 9, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,502,979 to Renard. Renard discloses the claimed invention of a display cabinet having a modular construction. See especially Figs. 1 and 3.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4, 5, and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Renard in view of U.S. Patent No. 2,915,884 to Haushalter et al. Renard discloses the claimed invention except for the insulation. Haushalter et al teaches a display case having walls 40, 42 that are heat-insulated. Thus, it would be obvious to make the walls of the cabinet of Renard heat-insulated, in view of Haushalter et al, with the predictable result that heat losses through the walls are reduced.
- 5. Claims 8, 10, 11, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Renard in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,086,627 to Borgen. Renard discloses the claimed invention except for the heat exchange means and fan being built

Art Unit: 3744

into the upper space of the cabinet. Borgen clearly teaches a display cabinet in which the heat exchange means 75 and fan 86 are built into the upper space of the cabinet. Thus, it would be obvious to modify Renard so that the heat exchange means and fan are built into the upper space of the cabinet, in view of Borgen, with the predictable result that the heat exchange means and fan are easy to reach for repair or replacement purposes.

- 6. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Renard in view of Haushalter et al as applied to claims 4 and 5 above, and further in view of Borgen as applied to claim 8 above.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William E. Tapolcai whose telephone number is (571) 272-4814. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 6:30 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl J. Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William E. Tapolcai Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

wet August 30, 2007